arXiv:math/0009046v1 [math.NT] 5 Sep 2000

WHERE THE SLOPES ARE

FERNANDO Q. GOUVÊA

Let N be a positive integer (the "level") and $k \geq 2$ be an integer (the "weight"). Let $S_k(N, \mathbb{C})$ denote the finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of cuspidal modular forms of weight k and trivial character on $\Gamma_0(N)$ defined over $\mathbb{C}$. Elements $f \in S_k(N, \mathbb{C})$ can be specified by giving their Fourier expansions

$$f = a_1 q + a_2 q^2 + \cdots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n,$$

where $q = e^{2\pi i z}$ and z is in the complex upper halfplane. This expansion is sometimes described as "the q-expansion at infinity" of the modular form f. There exists a natural basis of $S_k(N, \mathbb{C})$ consisting of forms all of whose Fourier coefficients are in fact rational. We denote the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space spanned by this basis by $S_k(N, \mathbb{Q})$. Note that then we have

$$S_k(N, \mathbb{C}) = S_k(N, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

For each prime number p which does not divide N there is a linear operator T_p acting on $S_k(N, \mathbb{C})$, known as the p-th Hecke operator. (In fact, the T_p stabilize $S_k(N, \mathbb{Q})$.) A modular form which is an eigenvector for all of these linear operators simultaneously is called an *eigenform*; the space $S_k(N, \mathbb{C})$ has a basis made up of eigenforms, and the Fourier coefficients of these eigenforms can be normalized (by requiring $a_1 = 1$) to belong to a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$.

The eigenvalues of the T_p operator encode significant arithmetic information about the modular form and various other objects which can be attached to it (for example, a Galois representation). In our setting, the eigenvalue of T_p acting on an eigenform $f \in S_k(N, \mathbb{C}_p)$ is a totally real algebraic number whose absolute value (with respect to any embedding of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ into $\mathbb{C}$) is between $-2p^{(k-1)/2}$ and $2p^{(k-1)/2}$. If we normalize the eigenvalues by dividing by $p^{(k-1)/2}$, the normalized eigenvalues are real numbers in the interval $[-2, 2]$, and we can ask about their distribution in that interval. The Sato-Tate Conjecture, still very

Project sponsored in part by the National Security Agency under Grant number MDA904-98-1-0012. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints.

much an open problem, predicts the properties of that distribution for a fixed modular form f and varying p. We can also, however, fix the prime p and consider the distribution as $k \to \infty$ of all the eigenvalues of T_p corresponding to eigenforms of weight k. This was done by Serre in [Ser97] and by Conrey, Duke, and Farmer in [CDF97].

The goal of this paper is to begin the study of an analogous question in the p-adic setting by presenting a wide range of numerical data. The unexpected regularities in the data suggest several interesting questions that deserve further investigation.

We fix a prime number p, then, and consider the situation in a p-adic setting. We choose an embedding of the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ into the completion $\mathbb{C}_p$ of an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and then we define

$$S_k(N, \mathbb{C}_p) = S_k(N, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_p,$$

and similarly for $S_k(N, F)$ where F is any extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. In the p-adic context, it turns out that the right operator to consider is not T_p but rather the Atkin-Lehner U operator, which can be described by its action on q-expansions:

$$U\left(\sum a_n q^n\right) = \sum a_{np} q^n.$$

If p does not divide N, this operator does not stabilize the space $S_k(N, F)$, but it does stabilize the larger space $S_k(Np, F)$, and once again we can consider eigenforms and the corresponding eigenvalues of U.

Let $f \in S_k(Np, \mathbb{C}_p)$ be an eigenform for U, so that $U(f) = \lambda f$. The p-adic valuation of the eigenvalue λ turns out to play a crucial role in the p-adic theory. We shall call this valuation the *slope* of the eigenform f:

Definition. *Given an U-eigenform f of level Np, weight k and eigenvalue λ, we define the* slope *of f by*

$$\mathrm{slope}(f) = \mathrm{ord}_p(\lambda).$$

The name "slope" comes from the p-adic theory of Newton polygons: the slopes of the eigenforms in $S_k(Np, \mathbb{C}_p)$ are determined by the slopes of the Newton polygon of the characteristic polynomial of the U operator acting on this space.

We are interested in the distribution *of the slopes* of the U operator for fixed level and varying weight. (Thus, we are writing the eigenvalues as a p-adic unit times a power of p, and then we are ignoring the unit part.) All of our results are numerical, but we feel they are of sufficient interest and that they raise significant questions that need to be addressed on a theoretical level.

I am grateful to several people for their contributions to this work. The main question discussed in this paper was raised by Dipendra Prasad in conversation with the author. The computations were done with the GP program using a modified version of a script written by Robert Coleman. Finally, Barry Mazur, Kevin Buzzard, and Naomi Jochnowitz made significant suggestions and observations at several points.

1. Setting up the Problem

Let p be a prime number, $k \geq 2$ an even integer, and N a positive integer not divisible by p. Let ord_p be the p-adic valuation mapping, normalized by $\mathrm{ord}_p(p) = 1$. For any field F of characteristic zero, we write $S_k(N, F)$ to denote the F-vector space of cuspidal modular forms of weight k for $\Gamma_0(N)$ (with trivial character) whose Fourier coefficients all belong to F. We will essentially be concerned only with $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$, since the Newton polygon (and therefore the slopes) can be computed already in this context, though the eigenforms themselves may only be defined over some extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Our computations will be restricted to the case $N = 1$ (and hence $k \geq 12$), but it seems reasonable to set up the problem for general level.

There are two natural inclusions of $S_k(N, F)$ into $S_k(Np, F)$; on q-expansions the first is the identity mapping and the second is the Atkin-Lehner V operator, which sends q to q^p. The subspace spanned by the images of both maps is called the space of *oldforms* in $S_k(Np, F)$; it has a natural complement called the space of *newforms*.

The Atkin-Lehner U operator maps $S_k(Np, F)$ to itself, acting on q-expansions by

$$U(\sum a_n q^n) = \sum a_{np} q^n.$$

It follows from the Atkin-Lehner theory of change of level (see [AL70]) that the action of U on newforms can be diagonalized (possibly after extending the base field), and that all the eigenvalues are equal to $\pm p^{(k-2)/2}$, and hence have slope equal to $(k-2)/2$. Thus, as far as the slopes are concerned, the interesting questions have to do with the action of U on the oldforms. This is best understood by relating it to the action of the Hecke operator T_p on forms of level N; this yields the theory of "twin eigenforms" discussed in [GM92].

The Hecke operator T_p can be diagonalized on $S_k(N, \mathbb{C}_p)$. Let $f \in S_k(N, \mathbb{C}_p)$ be a normalized cuspidal eigenform, and let a_p be the eigenvalue of T_p acting on f. Finally, let $f_1, f_2 \in S_k(Np, \mathbb{C}_p)$ be the two images of f under the maps described above. The U operator stabilizes

the two-dimensional space generated by f_1 and f_2, and its characteristic polynomial is $x^2 - a_p x + p^{k-1}$. If this polynomial has two distinct roots, the action of U on this two-dimensional subspace can be diagonalized, and the slopes of the two resulting eigenforms can be easily determined:

- If $\mathrm{ord}_p(a_p) < (k-1)/2$, the two eigenvalues have p-adic valuation equal to $\mathrm{ord}_p(a_p)$ and $k - 1 - \mathrm{ord}_p(a_p)$.
- If $\mathrm{ord}_p(a_p) \geq (k-1)/2$, then both eigenvalues have p-adic valuation $(k-1)/2$.

It has been conjectured by Ulmer that the polynomial $x^2 - a_p x + p^{k-1}$ always has two distinct roots. Specifically:

Conjecture (Ulmer)**.** *The action of U_p on $S_k(\Gamma_0(Np), \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is semisimple. In particular, the polynomial $x^2 - a_p x + p^{k-1}$ always has distinct roots.*

Coleman and Edixhoven have shown that this is true for $k = 2$ and that for general k it follows from the Tate Conjecture (see [CE98]).

It is easy to see that if the polynomial has a double root then we must have $\mathrm{ord}(a_p) = (k-1)/2$ (unless $p = 2$, in which case we would have $\mathrm{ord}(a_p) = (k+1)/2$). In our computations (which were restricted to the case $N = 1$), *we never found a form for which* $\mathrm{ord}(a_p) \geq (k-1)/2$, so that Ulmer's conjecture is true in every case we considered. This shows that the computations below are correct independent of Ulmer's conjecture.

For what follows, *we assume Ulmer's conjecture holds.* In that case, we can determine the slopes of U acting on the oldforms in $S_k(Np, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ by determining the slopes of T_p acting on $S_k(N, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. For each slope obtained in level N one obtains a pair of slopes α' and α'' in level Np, satisfying

- $0 \leq \alpha' \leq \alpha'' \leq k - 1$
- $\alpha' + \alpha'' = k - 1$

with $\alpha' < \alpha''$ unless they are both equal to $(k-1)/2$. We define the *slope sequence for level N, weight k, and prime p* to be the ordered list of slopes

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, (k-1) - \alpha_2, (k-1) - \alpha_1)$$

for U acting on the oldforms in $S_k(Np, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, where we repeat slopes that occur with multiplicity. The number of elements in this sequence is equal to twice the dimension of $S_k(N, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. Since the slope sequence is symmetric under $\alpha \leftrightarrow (k-1) - \alpha$, we will usually specify it by giving only the first half of the slope sequence. The discussion above shows

that this first half is the same as the slope sequence for T_p acting on $S_k(N, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, except that all slopes larger than $(k-1)/2$ are replaced by $(k-1)/2$. (As mentioned above, we found no example in which the latter case occurs.)

Since we know that the slopes are in the interval $[0, k-1]$ (and we want to vary k), it makes sense to normalize the slopes by dividing them by $k-1$.

Definition. *Suppose f is either a T_p-eigenform of level N or a U-eigenform f of level Np. Let k be the weight of f and let $a_p(f)$ be the eigenvalue (of T_p or of U). We define the* supersingularity *of f by*

$$\mathrm{ss}(f) = \frac{\mathrm{ord}_p(a_p(f))}{k-1}.$$

Let $f \in S_k(N, \mathbb{C}_p)$ is an eigenform for T_p and (still assuming Ulmer's Conjecture is true) f', f'' are the two old u-eigenforms corresponding to it as above. Then, provided that $\mathrm{ss}(f) \leq 1/2$, we have

$$\mathrm{ss}(f') = \mathrm{ss}(f)$$

and

$$\mathrm{ss}(f'') = 1 - \mathrm{ss}(f),$$

and both numbers are in the interval $[0, 1]$. Thus, the sequence of supersingularities corresponding to old eigenforms of weight k and level Np is a normalized version of the slope sequence, and can be computed via the supersingularities of forms of level N, provided these are small enough.

(One can think of ss as a function on the *eigencurve* studied by Coleman and Mazur in [CM98]. It will be an continuous function on the eigencurve, except along the $k = 1$ locus. Notice, however, that classical eigenforms of weight 1 will always have slope zero; defining $\mathrm{ss}(f) = 0$ for such forms gives a continuous extension of ss to classical forms of weight 1. No such continuous extension is possible at points corresponding to non-ordinary forms of weight 1.)

We define the *supersingularity sequence in weight k*

$$(\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, 1-\eta_2, 1-\eta_1)$$

by

$$\eta_i = \mathrm{ss}(f_i) = \frac{\mathrm{slope}(f_i)}{k-1}$$

as f_i runs through the old eigenforms of weight k on $\Gamma_0(Np)$. The supersingularity sequence is contained in the interval $[0, 1]$ and is symmetric under $\eta \leftrightarrow 1-\eta$.

The main problem we consider is to understand the distribution of the supersingularities in the interval $[0,1]$ when we fix the level N and let $k \to \infty$. This problem can be expressed in measure-theoretic terms, as in [Ser97]: considering N and p as fixed, for each k we define a probability measure μ_k on the interval $[0,1]$ by putting a point mass at each supersingularity η_i: let $d_k = \dim S_k(N, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, and set

$$\mu_k = \frac{1}{2d_k} \sum_{i=1}^{d_k} \left(\delta_{\eta_i} + \delta_{1-\eta_i}\right),$$

where δ_x is the Dirac measure at x. The question then is whether the measures μ_k tend to a limit as $k \to \infty$, and if so to determine that limit measure.

2. Computations

For our computations, we restricted to the case $N = 1$, which then means that one only gets non-trivial results for even weights $k \geq 12$. For each prime number $p \leq 100$, we computed the Newton polygon of T_p acting on forms of weight k and level 1 for weights $k \leq 500$. Since in every case the slopes were less than $(k-1)/2$, the slopes we obtained are exactly the first half of the slope sequence for the U operator acting on oldforms of level p, as described above.

The method used for computation was straightforward: the space of modular forms of weight k and level 1 has a basis consisting of forms $E_4^a E_6^b \Delta$, where E_4 and E_6 are the Eisenstein series of weight 4 and 6 respectively, Δ is the unique cuspform of weight 12, and $4a+6b+12 = k$. Using this explicit basis we determined the characteristic polynomial of T_p and computed its Newton slopes, then produced supersingularities by dividing by $k-1$. The computation was done with the GP calculator [BBCO]; the basic GP functions we needed were based on a script originally written by Robert Coleman. The main constraint on the computation was the memory required for computing the characteristic polynomial: larger k meant working with a larger basis, and larger p meant that we needed to use more terms from the q-expansion of the modular forms. The full output of the computations can be found on the web at `http://www.colby.edu/personal/fqgouvea/slopes/`.

As already mentioned above, in every case we found that every slope in the Newton polygon of T_p acting on forms of level N was smaller than $(k-1)/2$, from which it follows that U acts semisimply on the space of oldforms and that the slope sequence for T_p is indeed the same as the first half of the slope sequence of U acting on oldforms of level Np.

Prime p	Weights k
59	16, 46, 76, 106, 136, 166, 196, 226, 256
	286, 316, 346, 376, 406, 436, 466, 496
79	38, 44, 118, 124, 198, 204, 278, 284
	358, 364, 438, 444
2411	12
15271	16
187441	16
3371	20
64709	20
27310421	26

TABLE 1. Known exceptions to $\eta_i \leq 1/(p+1)$

Question. *Fix a prime number p and a level N. Let $f \in S_k(N, \mathbb{C}_p)$ be an eigenform for T_p. Is it true that*

$$\mathrm{slope}(f_i) < \frac{k-1}{2}$$

always?

In fact, one sees much more. Even a cursory observation of the tables suggests that the slopes are much smaller than one might expect. In fact, we found that *in almost every case* the supersingularities for weight k and prime p are smaller than $1/(p+1)$. In other words, the inequality

$$\mathrm{ss}(f) \leq \frac{1}{p+1}$$

holds almost always for forms of level N. It follows that the sequence of supersingularities for weight k is almost always contained in $[0, \frac{1}{p+1}] \cup [\frac{p}{p+1}, 1]$.

Question. *Fix a prime number p and a level N. Let $f \in S_k(N, \mathbb{C}_p)$ be an eigenform for T_p. Is it true that*

$$\mathrm{ss}(f) \leq \frac{1}{p+1}$$

almost always as $k \to \infty$?

To be more explicit about "almost always," in our computations exceptions to this inequality occurred only for $p = 59$ and $p = 79$; for each of these primes, the inequality fails to hold for the highest-slope form in certain weights. See Table 1 for the list of weights at which

exceptional slopes appear; we discuss this list of weights further below. Other exceptions to the inequality, outside the range of this computation, can be read off from the results in [Gou97]; they correspond to forms of weights $k = 12, 16, 20$ that are non-ordinary with respect to large primes. The final entry in the table comes from a computation by Atkin. The full list of primes and weights for which we know of a slope that does not satisfy the inequality is given in Table 1. For each (p, k) pair, we found that exactly one slope in the first half of the slope sequence violates the inequality.

If we focus on the exceptional slopes and compute the corresponding supersingularities, we see that in our examples $\mathrm{ss}(f)$ seems to get closer to $1/(p+1)$ as k grows. Let $p = 59$, for example; the sequence of supersingularities corresponding to the exceptional slopes in Table 1 is

$$0.066, 0.022, 0.026, 0.019, 0.022, 0.018, 0.020, 0.017, 0.019,$$
$$0.017, 0.019, 0.017, 0.018, 0.017, 0.018, 0.017, 0.018$$

Here, of course, $1/(p+1) = 1/60 = 0.01666\ldots$, and the exceptional values of the supersingularity seem to be approaching this value as the weight grows.

Encouraged by this, we can try to make "almost always" precise by using the measure-theoretic formulation:

Question. *Is it true that the sequence of measures $\{\mu_k\}$ converges, as $k \to \infty$, to a measure supported on the set $[0, \frac{1}{p+1}] \cup [\frac{p}{p+1}, 1]$?*

One way to think about these results is by analogy with the results in [Gou97]. There, we fixed a modular form and computed its slopes with respect to varying primes p, and found that the slope was almost always zero. In this case, we fix a prime and consider the full slope sequences for varying weights k, and we find that the slopes are almost always bounded by $(k-1)/(p+1)$. The two exceptional sets are connected, of course. For example, a form of weight k which has slope equal to 1 at a prime $p > k+2$ will be exceptional from both points of view.

We know of no general results which suggest that "exceptional" slopes are rare. For specific primes, there are some hints. For $p = 3$ and $k = 2 \cdot 3^a$, Lawren Smithline has shown in [Smi00] that for every form of level 1 we have

$$\mathrm{slope}(f) < \frac{k}{4}.$$

For integral slopes (as we point out below, the slopes seem to be almost always integral), this is in fact equivalent to our inequality

$$\mathrm{slope}(f) \leq \frac{k-1}{4}.$$

For $p = 2$, Kevin Buzzard has formulated a conjectural description of *all* the slopes that implies, in particular, that the inequality

$$\text{slope}(f) \leq \frac{k-1}{3}$$

always holds for forms of level 1, i.e., that there are no exceptional forms for $p = 2$. (Buzzard's conjectural description also implies that all the 2-adic slopes for level 1 are integral.)

These hints suggest that something is going on. It seems to us that the fact that the inequality is so often true demands some explanation. In particular, one would like to know whether there is something special about the cases where it fails.

Question. *Can one identify a specific property of the modular forms or the Galois representations corresponding to exceptional pairs* (p, k)*?*

The list of exceptional cases is itself suggestive. Consider the case of $p = 59$. For $k = 16$, one finds a form of slope 1, which is therefore an exception to the inequality. There is no clear explanation for this first exceptional slope, but the fact that the other counterexamples occur at weights $k = 46, 76, 106, 136, \ldots$ suggests a systematic pattern. A possible interpretation of this pattern via the theory of Θ-cycles developed in [Joc82] was suggested by Kevin Buzzard and will be discussed in the next section.

Finally, we note that *almost all the slopes we obtained are integers.* Of all the observations we make, this is the one that is most likely to be merely an effect of the fact that we work only with small primes. The location of the exceptions, however, suggests that something else may be going on. Specifically, non-integral slopes occur in our computations only for $p = 59$ and $p = 79$, the same primes for which exceptional slopes occur. Furthermore, the fractional slopes we observe are connected to the exceptional slopes, in the following remarkable way:

1. A weight k for which there exists exceptional form with slope equal to 2 is preceded by a weight $k - 2$ for which the slope sequence contains two slopes equal to $1/2$. For $p = 59$, this happens for the pairs of weights $(74, 76)$ and $(104, 106)$; for $p = 79$, weights $(116, 118)$, $(122, 124)$.
2. A weight k for which there exists exceptional form with slope equal to 3 is preceded by a weight $k - 2$ for which the slope sequence contains two slopes equal to $3/2$, and that weight is preceded by a weight $k - 4$ whose slope sequence contains two slopes equal to $1/2$. This happens for $p = 59$ and weights $(132, 134, 136)$ and $(162, 164, 166)$; for $p = 79$, weights $(194, 196, 198)$ and $(200, 202, 204)$.

3. A weight k for which there exists exceptional form with slope equal to 4 is preceded by a weight $k-2$ for which the slope sequence contains two slopes equal to $5/2$, that weight is preceded by a weight $k-4$ whose slope sequence contains two slopes equal to $3/2$, and that weight is preceded by a weight $k-6$ whose slope sequence contains two slopes equal to $1/2$. This happens for $p = 59$ and weights $(190, 192, 194, 196)$ and $(220, 222, 224, 226)$; for $p = 79$ and weights $(272, 274, 276, 278)$ and $(278, 280, 282, 284)$.
4. And so on. An exceptional form of slope n is and weight k is accompanied by a "train" of pairs of forms of slope
$$\frac{2n-3}{2},\ \frac{2n-5}{2},\ \frac{2n-7}{2},\ \ldots,\ \frac{1}{2}$$
and weight
$$k-2,\ k-4,\ k-6,\ \ldots,\ k-2(n-1)$$
(one pair for each weight). See the tables in section 4 for the actual slope sequences.

These patterns can overlap without interfering. For example, there are exceptional forms of slope 6 for $p = 79$ and weights 438 and 444. Each has its trail of weights for which fractional slopes exist. Because of the exceptional form at weight 438, there are forms of slope $1/2$ at weight 428 and at each subsequent weight, up to forms of slope $9/2$ at weight 436. Because of the exceptional form at weight 444, there are forms of slope $1/2$ at weight 434 and at each subsequent weight, up to forms of slope $9/2$ at weight 442. Hence, for example, at weight 436 we have *both* a pair of forms of slope $3/2$ and a pair of forms of slope $9/2$.

This suggests a final question:

Question. *How often are the slopes integral? What is the connection between non-integral slopes and exceptional slopes?*

3. Θ-cycles?

In this section we look a little more closely at the exceptional cases that occur for $p = 59$ and $p = 79$. The relevant slope sequences for $p = 59$ and for $p = 79$ are listed in the tables in section 4 The exceptional and the fractional slopes are printed in boldface.

The list of weights at which exceptional slopes occur strongly suggest a connection to the theory of Θ-cycles, as in [Joc82]. We recall the basic ideas. As above, we restrict to the case of level $N = 1$. The Θ operator is the operator that acts on q-expansions as $q\frac{d}{dq}$, so that
$$\Theta\left(\sum a_n q^n\right) = \sum n a_n q^n.$$

(If we think of modular forms as functions on the complex upper half-plane, then $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ and Θ is just $\frac{d}{dz}$.) As is well known (see [Gou97]), if f is a modular form then Θf is *not* a modular form, though it is "almost" modular in some sense (the p-adic story is a little different: see [Kat73, Gou88, CGJ95]). On the other hand, Θ does define an operator on modular forms modulo p, in which case it maps forms of weight k to forms of weight $k + p + 1$ (see [Joc82, Kat77]).

When one considers modular forms modulo p, it is possible for forms whose weight differs by a multiple of $p-1$ to have identical q-expansions. (Basically, this is because the q-expansion of the Eisenstein series E_{p-1} is congruent to 1 modulo p.) Thus, if f is a modular form, we define its *filtration* $w(f)$ to be the minimal weight for which there is a modular form whose q-expansion is congruent modulo p to the q-expansion of f. The theory of Θ-cycles describes what happens to the filtration under the Θ operator.

The basic facts are the following. Let f be a modular form of weight k on $\Gamma_0(N)$. If f is not ordinary (i.e., $U(f) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, which is the case in all the examples we will consider), then $\Theta^{p-1} f \equiv f \pmod{p}$, and hence $w(\Theta^{p-1} f) = w(f)$. This is why one speaks of a "Θ-*cycle*." The filtration normally increases by $p + 1$ each time we compute Θ; specifically, if $w(f)$ is not divisible by p, then $w(\Theta f) = w(f) + p + 1$. If, on the other hand, $w(f)$ is divisible by p, the filtration goes down, so that $w(\Theta f) = w(f) + p + 1 - n(p - 1)$, where n is some integer. What values n can assume is completely determined in [Joc82]. The case that is relevant here is the one where $w(f) = k$, $4 \leq k \leq p - 1$, and f is not ordinary. In this case, the cycle looks as follows. First,

$$w(f) = k, w(\Theta f) = k + p + 1, \ldots, w(\Theta^{p-k} f) = p^2 - (k - 1)p.$$

Then, since this is divisible by p, the filtration falls, with $n = p+2-k$, so

$$w(\Theta^{p-k+1} f) = p + 3 - k, \ldots, w(\Theta^{p-2} f) = (k - 2)p,$$

and finally $w(\Theta^{p-1} f) = k$, closing the cycle.

Notice that this whole theory refers *only* to modular forms modulo p. If f is an eigenform, then all of the $\Theta^i f$ are eigenforms modulo p; by the Deligne-Serre Lemma (see [DS74, Lemma 6.11] or [AS86, Prop. 1.2.2]), they lift to eigenforms in characteristic zero (but recall that the $\Theta^i f$ are not themselves modular forms, so the lifts will only be congruent to them). We know these eigenforms will have positive slope (a property which is "visible" modulo p), but there seems to no reason to predict anything further about their slope.

Consider now the exceptional slopes for $p = 59$. For the case of weight 16, the occurrence of a large slope seems to be "accidental," but its "propagation" to higher weights seems to be linked a Θ-cycle. Let f_0 be the (unique) form of weight 16 and level 1; its 59-adic slope is 1, because its 59-th Fourier coefficient is divisible (once) by 59. For each i, let f_i denote the minimal weight modular form which is congruent modulo p to $\Theta^i f$. Then we have:

- f_1 is of weight 76,
- f_2 is of weight 136,
- f_3 is of weight 196,
- ...
- f_{43} is of weight $2596 = 22 \times 59$,
- f_{44} is of weight 46,
- f_{45} is of weight 106,
- f_{46} is of weight 166,
- ...
- f_{57} is of weight $826 = 14 \times 59$,
- finally, $f_{58} = f_0$.

Note that the smaller weights (up to 496) on this list are precisely the weights for which we found exceptional slopes.

Because the reductions modulo p of these forms are in the image of Θ, all of the liftings of forms in the Θ-cycle must have positive slope, but the lifting theory suggests no reason to expect the slope to increase along the cycle. Nor, in fact, is there any reason to expect the slopes to be integers. What we observe, however, is the following:

- The exceptional form of weight 76 is congruent modulo 59 (but not modulo 59^2) to Θf_0 *and has slope* 2.
- The exceptional form of weight 136 is congruent modulo 59 (but not modulo 59^2) to $\Theta^2 f_0$ *and has slope* 3.
- ...
- The exceptional form of weight 46 is congruent modulo 59 (but not modulo 59^2) to $\Theta^{44} f_0$ *and has slope* 1.
- The exceptional form of weight 106 is congruent modulo 59 (but not modulo 59^2) to $\Theta^{45} f_0$ *and has slope* 2.
- ...

In other words, the forms we get are only connected via Θ modulo 59, but their slopes increase by 1 as one might expect under Θ, except that at the point where the filtration falls back down to 46 the slope falls back down to 1. If the pattern continues to hold for the full cycle,

every one of the resulting forms will be exceptional (i.e., their supersingularities will be bigger than 1/60), though their supersingularities get closer and closer to 1/60 as the weight gets larger.

Also interesting is to ask whether one still gets exceptional slopes beyond the Θ-cycle; for example, is there an exceptional form for $p = 59$ of weight 886? [Computation still in progress!]

Similarly, we can examine the exceptional slopes for $p = 79$. Again, the exceptional slopes seem to fit into a Θ-cycle starting from a form of weight 48 (the weights in the cycle would be 48, 128, 208, ... , 2528, 34, 114, 194, ... , 3634, 48). Again, all we see is the lower end of the cycle, and again, if the pattern persists throughout the cycle every one of these forms would be exceptional.

If the existence of such "Θ-cycles" of slopes reflects a general phenomenon, then this would suggest that the set of possible slopes for a given p and varying k has far more structure than predicted, say, in [GM92]. This agrees with Kevin Buzzard's conjectures regarding the case $p = 2$.

4. Tables of Exceptional and Fractional Slopes

The tables that follow give the lower halves of the slope sequences for $p = 59$ and $p = 79$ and all the weights for which there is either a fractional or an exceptional slope. To make it easier for the reader, we have printed the fractional and exceptional slopes in bold. The full tables, containing all the slopes sequences for $p \leq 100$ and $k \leq 500$, can be found on the web at `http://www.colby.edu/personal/fqgouvea/slopes/`.

First the table for $p = 59$:

Weight k	Slope Sequence for $p = 59$ (lower half)
16	(**1**)
46	(0, 0, **1**)
74	(**1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1)
76	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, **2**)
104	(0, 0, **1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 1)
106	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, **2**)
132	(**1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)
134	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2)
136	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, **3**)
162	(0, 0, **1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)
164	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 2)
166	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, **3**)
190	(**1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3)

Weight k	Slope Sequence for $p = 59$ (lower half)
192	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3)
194	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, **5/2**, **5/2**, 3, 3, 3)
196	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, **4**)
220	(0, 0, **1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3)
222	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3)
224	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, **5/2**, **5/2**, 3, 3, 3, 3)
226	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, **4**)
248	(**1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4)
250	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4)
252	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, **5/2**, **5/2**, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4)
254	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, **7/2**, **7/2**, 4, 4, 4)
256	(0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, **5**)
278	(0, 0, **1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4)
280	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4)
282	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, **5/2**, **5/2**, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4)
284	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, **7/2**, **7/2**, 4, 4, 4, 4)
286	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, **5**)
306	(**1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5)
308	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5)
310	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, **5/2**, **5/2**, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5)
312	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, **7/2**, **7/2**, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5)
314	(0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, **9/2**, **9/2**, 5, 5, 5)
316	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5,

Weight k	Slope Sequence for $p = 59$ (lower half)
	5, 5, **6**)
336	(0, 0, **1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5)
338	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5)
340	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, **5/2**, **5/2**, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5)
342	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, **7/2**, **7/2**, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5)
344	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, **9/2**, **9/2**, 5, 5, 5, 5)
346	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, **6**)
364	(**1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6)
366	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6)
368	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, **5/2**, **5/2**, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6)
370	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, **7/2**, **7/2**, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6)
372	(0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, **9/2**, **9/2**, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6)
374	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, **11/2**, **11/2**, 6, 6, 6)
376	(0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, **7**)
394	(0, 0, **1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6)
396	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6)
398	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, **5/2**, **5/2**, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6)
400	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, **7/2**, **7/2**, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6)
402	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, **9/2**, **9/2**, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6)
404	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, **11/2**, **11/2**, 6, 6, 6, 6)
406	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4,

Weight k	Slope Sequence for $p = 59$ (lower half)
	5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, **7**)
422	(**1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7)
424	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7)
426	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, **5/2**, **5/2**, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7)
428	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, **7/2**, **7/2**, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7)
430	(0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, **9/2**, **9/2**, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7)
432	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, **11/2**, **11/2**, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7)
434	(0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, **13/2**, **13/2**, 7, 7, 7)
436	(0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, **8**)
452	(0, 0, **1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7)
454	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7)
456	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, **5/2**, **5/2**, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7)
458	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, **7/2**, **7/2**, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7)
460	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, **9/2**, **9/2**, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7)
462	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, **11/2**, **11/2**, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7)
464	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, **13/2**, **13/2**, 7, 7, 7, 7)
466	(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, **8**)
480	(**1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7)
482	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 8, 8, 8, 8)
484	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, **5/2**, **5/2**, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8)
486	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, **7/2**, **7/2**,

Weight k	Slope Sequence for $p = 59$ (lower half)
	4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8)
488	(0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, **9/2**, **9/2**, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8)
490	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, **11/2**, **11/2**, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 8, 8, 8, 8)
492	(0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, **13/2**, **13/2**, 7, 7, 7, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8)
494	(0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, **15/2**, **15/2**, 8, 8, 8)
496	(0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 8, 8, 8, 8, **9**)

Now the table for $p = 79$:

Weight k	Slope sequence for $p = 79$(lower half)
38	(0, **1**)
44	(0, 0, **1**)
116	(0, **1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
118	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, **2**)
122	(0, 0, **1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
124	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, **2**)
194	(0, **1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)
196	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)
198	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, **3**)
200	(0, 0, **1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)
202	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)
204	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, **3**)
272	(0, **1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3)
274	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3)
276	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, **5/2**, **5/2**, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3)
278	(0, 0, **1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, **4**)
280	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3)
282	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, **5/2**, **5/2**, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3)
284	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3,

Weight k	Slope sequence for $p = 79$(lower half)
	3, 3, **4**)
350	(0, **1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4)
352	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4)
354	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, **5/2**, **5/2**, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4)
356	(0, 0, **1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, **7/2**, **7/2**, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4)
358	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, **5**)
360	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, **5/2**, **5/2**, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4)
362	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, **7/2**, **7/2**, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4)
364	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, **5**)
428	(0, **1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5)
430	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5)
432	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, **5/2**, **5/2**, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5)
434	(0, 0, **1/2**, **1/2**, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, **7/2**, **7/2**, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5)
436	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, **3/2**, **3/2**, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, **9/2**, **9/2**, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5)
438	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, **5/2**, **5/2**, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, **6**)
440	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, **7/2**, **7/2**, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5)
442	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, **9/2**, **9/2**, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5)
444	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, **6**)

References

[AL70] A. O. L. Atkin and J. Lehner, *Hecke operators on* $\Gamma_0(m)$, Math. Ann. **185** (1970), 134–160.

[AS86] A. Ash and G. H. Stevens, *Cohomology of arithmetic groups and congruences between systems of Hecke eigenvalues*, Journ. Reine Angew. Math. **365** (1986), 192–220.

[BBCO] C. Batut, D. Bernardi, H. Cohen, and M. Olivier, *GP–PARI*, Available by anonymous ftp from `megrez.math.u-bordeaux.fr`.

[CDF97] J. B. Conrey, W. Duke, and D. W. Farmer, *The distribution of the eigenvalues of Hecke operators*, Acta Arith. **78** (1997), 405–409.

[CE98] R. F. Coleman and B. Edixhoven, *On the semi-simplicity of the U_p-operator on modular forms*, Math. Ann. **310** (1998), 119–127.

[CGJ95] R. F. Coleman, F. Q. Gouvêa, and N. Jochnowitz, *E_2, Θ, and overconvergence*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1995), no. 1, 23–41.

[CM98] R. Coleman and B. Mazur, *The eigencurve*, (A. J. Scholl and R. L. Taylor, eds.), London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 254, Cambridge University Press, 1998, pp. 1–113.

[DS74] P. Deligne and J.-P. Serre, *Formes modulaires de poids* 1, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **7** (1974), 507–530, In J.-P. Serre, *Œuvres*, volume III.

[GM92] F. Q. Gouvêa and B. Mazur, *Families of modular eigenforms*, Math. Comp. **58** (1992), 793–806.

[Gou88] F. Q. Gouvêa, *Arithmetic of p-adic modular forms*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1304, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1988.

[Gou97] F. Q. Gouvêa, *Non-ordinary primes: a story*, Experiment. Math. **6** (1997), 195–205.

[Joc82] N. Jochnowitz, *Congruences between systems of eigenvalues of modular forms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **270** (1982), 269–285.

[Kat73] N. M. Katz, *p-adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms*, In Kuijk and Serre [KS73].

[Kat77] N. M. Katz, *A result on modular forms in characteristic p*, In Serre and Zagier [SZ77].

[KS73] W. Kuijk and J.-P. Serre (eds.), *Modular functions of one variable III*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 350, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1973.

[Ser97] J.-P. Serre, *Répartition asymptotique des valeurs propres de l'opérateur de Hecke T_p*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **10** (1997), 75–102.

[Smi00] L. Smithline, *Exploring slopes of p-adic modular forms*, Ph.D. thesis, University of California at Berkeley, 2000.

[SZ77] J.-P. Serre and D. B. Zagier (eds.), *Modular functions of one variable V*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 601, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1977.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Colby College, Waterville, ME 04901, U. S. A.

E-mail address: `fqgouvea@colby.edu`